

EXPERIMENTAL BASED TOOL CALIBRATION USED FOR ASSESSING THE QUALITY OF E-COMMERCE SYSTEMS

Antonia Stefani¹, Dimitris Stavrinoudis¹, Michalis Xenos^{1,2}

¹ *School of Sciences & Technology, Hellenic Open University, 23 Sachtouri Str, Patras, Greece
Email: stefani@eap.gr, stavrino@eap.gr, xenos@eap.gr*

² *Research Academic Computer Technology Institute, 61 Riga Feraiou Str, Patras, Greece
Email: xenos@cti.gr*

Keywords: E-commerce Systems, Measurements, Quality Assessment

Abstract: This paper presents a method used to evaluate the quality of e-commerce systems. The presented method uses a Belief Network in order to model the factors and criteria affecting the quality of e-commerce systems. This model can be applied not only for assessing the quality of e-commerce systems, but also for ensuring quality design before development. It also offers numerical results for the overall quality of an e-commerce system, as well as for its intermediate factors and lower-level criteria. This paper presents the experimental results and the data analysis that aided towards the calibration of the model, i.e. assessing an e-commerce system and its individual characteristics based on the numerical results derived from the model.

1 INTRODUCTION

E-commerce systems have been developed at a staggering rate in recent years. In particular, they offer a full range of functions and services in order to fulfill the end-users requirements and to provide them high service quality. However, the quality of e-commerce systems is strongly related to the quality of the interface, as it is perceived by the end-user, who is also the e-customer of the system.

E-commerce system research has examined different issues of interface design, especially in Business to Consumer (B2C) systems. Emphasis was placed on usability issues (Nielsen, 2000), interface design principles (Lohse, 1998; Schafer, 2001) and end-users' behavioral model (Wilson, 2003; Sherman, 2003).

Most of the tools that have been developed for the assessment of e-commerce systems (Molla, 2001, Offut, 2002) give emphasis on the web applications of the system and they are based on surveys. This process provides significant results but demands extra time for data collection and data analysis in each measurement phase. The method's tool provides a flexible way to define the quality of e-commerce systems, as users perceive it, in a short period of time.

This paper presents a method for assessing the quality of e-commerce systems. This method is

based on a previously presented model (Stefani, 2003) using Belief Networks. This model is used for the assessment of e-commerce systems (developed or ever during their development). The model offers numerical results for the overall quality of an e-commerce system, as well as for its intermediate factors and lower-level criteria. This paper presents the experimental results and the data analysis that aided towards the calibration of the model. Assessing an e-commerce system and its individual characteristics based on the numerical results were derived from the model.

In section 2 the foundations of the proposed method and the tool used are presented, while in section 3 the aim and the context of the study are discussed. In section 4 the experimental results that aided to the definition of the numerical scales are presented, while in section 5 the application of the presented method is further discussed. Finally, in section 6 conclusions and future work are presented.

2 PRESENTATION OF THE MODEL

The method presented in this paper uses a Belief Network in order to model the quality factors of e-commerce systems. This model is based on the ISO 9126 quality standard (ISO, 1991) and specifically it relies on the quality characteristics and sub-

characteristics that are directly related to quality as perceived by the end-users. These quality characteristics are: Functionality, Usability, Reliability and Efficiency.

The mathematical model on which Bayesian Networks are based, is the theorem developed by the mathematician and theologian Thomas Bayes. The Bayesian Networks are a special category of graphic models where the nodes represent variables and the directed arrows represent the relation between the nodes. In the Bayesian Networks the node from which the directed arrow starts is defined as 'parent' node whereas the node where the directed arrow points at is defined as a 'child' node. Therefore, a Bayesian Network is a graphic network that describes the relations of probabilities between variables.

In order to define the relations between the variables, firstly the dependent probabilities that describe the relations between the variables must be determined for each node. If the values of each variable are distinct, then the probabilities for each node can be described in a Node Probability Table. This table presents the probability that a 'child' node is assigned a certain value for each combination of possible values of the 'parent' nodes. For example, if there is a Bayesian Network that presents one child node A and two parent nodes B, C then the probability table of node A reflects the probability $P(A|B, C)$ for all possible combinations of A, B, C.

The Belief Network of the model consists of a number of nodes. Because of the hierarchical structure of the ISO 9126 quality standard, this Belief Network is represented as a tree. The root of this tree is the node Quality, which represents the e-commerce system quality as a whole. This node is connected to four nodes, one for each of the four aforementioned quality characteristics. Furthermore, each quality characteristic node is connected to the corresponding quality sub-characteristics, according to the ISO 9126. Finally, each of these quality sub-characteristic nodes is connected to intermediate nodes or to leaf nodes comprising the characteristics of e-commerce systems. A graphical presentation of a part of the network is illustrated in Figure 1.

The leaf nodes can be measured without subjectivity, since they simply answer the question posed to the user whether a specific e-commerce characteristic exists in the system or not. As a result, they take values 0 or 1. All the intermediate nodes are characterized by three possible states: 'good', 'average' and 'poor', except the central node (the Quality node), which is characterized by two possible states: 'good' and 'poor'. In this model all these possible states of each intermediate node take probability values which vary between 0 to 1. The probabilities of the model are based on data taken

from previous studies of e-commerce systems (Stefani, 2001).




Figure 1. Graphical presentation of the model.

The use of the model can be forward and backward. In the forward use, the user inserts evidence to the leaf nodes in order to assess the overall quality of an e-commerce system. In this way, the model estimates the system's quality and characterizes it as 'good' and 'poor' also providing the corresponding probability values. Whereas the backward use of the model provides assessments regarding for intermediate or leaf nodes, when the value of a parent node is defined.

The model is also distinguished by its dynamic character. In other words, the node probability tables can always be refined by its use, while the results derived from its application can be utilized for its constant improvement, contributing to a continuous evolution and upgrading. The current version of the model with simple instructions of its use is available on the web site of the Software Quality Research Group of the Hellenic Open University (SQRG-HOU, 2004).

3 AIM AND CONTEXT OF THE STUDY

The method proposed in this paper is based on the use of the aforementioned model. However, in

order for the application of the model to be worthwhile the probability values for its nodes must be meaningful. A comparative approach between these probability values and the assessment of each e-commerce system must be formulated. In other words, when the model estimates the probability values of the quality characteristics of an e-commerce system, one must be able to classify this system and ascertain the specific fields that need to be improved. The paper provides the boundaries and the scales of these values that were concluded from experimental measurements to a number of e-commerce systems. As a result, it provides a non-subjective way of characterizing an e-commerce system according to the quality characteristics with which this method is concerned. In this way, the use of this model can easily lead to conclusions and determine specific corrective actions needed to be set in order to improve the quality of the system.

In this case study a number of e-commerce systems were measured following the proposed method. In detail, the data of this method were based on the assessment of 120 different Business to Consumer (B2C) e-commerce systems. The selection of these sites was a representative list randomly sampled from the entire list of the Greek and international e-commerce systems, which were available the day of this study. For each of these systems the aforementioned model was used, by defining the values in its child nodes. In this way, the probability values for all the intermediate nodes were estimated.

After collecting the measurement data, the next step was the analysis of the results. Firstly, the normal distribution of the data for all the quality characteristics and sub-characteristics was checked in order to ensure their validity. As previously mentioned, the aim of this research was the determination of the possible boundaries and scales of the measurement data, so as to define in an easy and non-subjective way which quality characteristics of an e-commerce system have high or low scores. In other words, the measurement data must be grouped in different clusters that characterize how good or bad a system is. In order to define these clusters, three alternative approaches could be followed: a) setting a priori the values that define the boundaries of the clusters, even before conducting the experimental measurements to the number of e-commerce systems used in this research, b) setting these values with the use of percentages of the measurement results and c) estimating these values by judging from the measurement results themselves and their possible distribution to clusters.

Although all of the alternative approaches of analyzing the data are acceptable, the third one was chosen, since it provides more representative rates.

Moreover, the analysis of the data showed that they were clearly distributed in different clusters. In this way the desired boundaries of the different scales for each quality characteristic were defined with more accuracy. Following this approach in the analysis, these boundaries can be defined regardless of the number of the e-commerce systems that were measured in this research.

The benefits of this analysis are noticeable. First of all, it provides an easy and non-subjective way to rank an e-commerce system according not only to the overall quality, but for each quality characteristic or sub-characteristic as well. In this way, it obviously shows which corrective actions may be followed to improve the quality of the system. Moreover, using this analysis, developers are able to determine the e-commerce characteristics on which they must focus, in order to achieve a desired value for the quality of the system that they develop.

4 DATA ANALYSIS

By applying the model at 120 e-commerce systems, measurement results were collected for the overall quality, the quality characteristics and sub-characteristics of the presented method. The measurement results, as presented hereinafter, correspond with the node probability values offered from the method's tool for the state "Good". The entire set of probability values for all states can be found in the web site of the Software Quality Research Group of the Hellenic Open University (SQRG-HOU, 2004).

The results for the overall quality were distributed normally and are presented in Table 1 (*Normality test Kolmogorov-Smirnov Significance level(Nom. test K-S s.l.)= 0.1; Mean (m)=0.56; Standard deviation(Std)= 0.24*), and they were distinguished in 3 categories A, B, C.

- Category A includes a small number of measurement values because in this category were included the e-commerce systems that satisfy strict criteria for the overall quality, the quality characteristics and sub-characteristics. And as the nature of the e-commerce system is to give emphasis to some of the above quality characteristics it is extremely difficult to achieve high measurement results in all sub-characteristics.
- Category B comprises e-commerce systems that satisfy a number of criteria for the overall quality. Although these systems appear to have an acceptable probability value for quality, corrective actions can be followed in order to

achieve a score as high as systems in category A have.

- In category C were placed the e-commerce systems that present low measurement results for the overall quality and the other components of the presented model.

0,1632	0,2006	0,2036	0,2073	0,2100	0,2116
0,2211	0,2216	0,2226	0,2299	0,2387	0,2426
0,2558	0,2560	0,2690	0,2745	0,2754	0,2813
0,2848	0,2905	0,2954	0,2995	0,3000	0,3044
0,3051	0,3164	0,3169	0,3240	0,3247	0,3284
0,3396	0,3405	0,3437	0,3519	0,3537	0,3561
0,3572	0,3575	0,3750	0,3849	0,3907	0,3910
0,3935	0,4000	0,4079	0,4372	0,4500	0,4526
0,4595	0,5033	0,5088	0,5530	0,5549	0,5606
0,5768	0,5802	0,5921	0,6000	0,6000	0,6008
0,6047	0,6106	0,6118	0,6153	0,6155	0,6155
0,6256	0,6258	0,6264	0,6369	0,6461	0,6500
0,6602	0,6696	0,6732	0,6747	0,6830	0,6849
0,6926	0,7064	0,7210	0,7310	0,7352	0,7370
0,7371	0,7410	0,7536	0,7550	0,7553	0,7553
0,7559	0,7643	0,7643	0,7660	0,7715	0,7759
0,7777	0,7790	0,7870	0,7884	0,7978	0,7978
0,8000	0,8203	0,8303	0,8404	0,8478	0,8599
0,8965	0,8974	0,9002	0,9059	0,9190	0,9201
0,9300	0,9542	0,9813	0,9813	0,9837	0,9860

Table 1. Measurement results for the overall quality.

The distribution of the measurement results in three categories was based on the clear separation, which their values present. Analytically the measurement results for the overall quality were distributed in three clusters as is presented in the histogram of Figure 2. Each cluster presented minimum and maximum values that were used to define the boundaries for the corresponding category. The boundaries of each category were defined by using the formula (1).

$$X = \frac{(X_{\max} + X_{\min})}{2} \quad (1)$$

Category A, for the overall quality of e-commerce systems comprises measurement values where $x > 0.89$, (Nom. test Shapiro-Wilk $s.l = 0.1$; $m = 0.93$; $Std = 0.136$). Category B comprises e-commerce systems that present $0.55 < x < 0.89$, (Nom.

test $K-S s.l = 0.1$; $m = 0.69$; $Std = 0.185$). Finally category C comprises measurement values where $x < 0.55$ (Nom. test $K-S s.l = 0.2$; $m = 0.32$; $Std = 0.082$), as it is presented at the histogram in Figure 3.




Figure 2. Histogram for the overall quality.




Figure 3. Histogram for category C of Quality.

This process has been applied for the quality characteristics and sub-characteristics of the model in order to define the boundaries for each of them. It should be recorded that all measurement results for quality characteristics, and sub-characteristics, were distributed normally. For example the quality characteristic of Efficiency comprises measurement results (Norm. test $K-S s.l = 0.1$; $m = 0.59$; $Std = 0.29$) that are presented in Figure 4 and were distributed also in three categories A,B,C.

Analytically, the scale calibration of the quality characteristics and sub-characteristics is presented in Table 2.

Scale Calibration			
Category	A	B	C
Quality	$x > 0,88$	$0,88 > x > 0,53$	$x < 0,53$
Functionality	$x > 0,82$	$0,82 > x > 0,55$	$x < 0,55$
Security	$x > 0,82$	$0,82 > x > 0,55$	$x < 0,55$
Interoperability	$x > 0,93$	$0,93 > x > 0,80$	$x < 0,80$
Suitability	$x > 0,83$	$0,83 > x > 0,46$	$x < 0,46$
Accuracy	$x > 0,83$	$0,83 > x > 0,61$	$x < 0,61$
Reliability	$x > 0,84$	$0,84 > x > 0,62$	$x < 0,62$
Fault Tolerance	$x > 0,80$	$0,80 > x > 0,57$	$x < 0,57$
Maturity	$x > 0,80$	$0,80 > x > 0,62$	$x < 0,62$
Recoverability	$x > 0,84$	$0,84 > x > 0,62$	$x < 0,62$
Usability	$x > 0,87$	$0,87 > x > 0,63$	$x < 0,63$
Attractiveness	$x > 0,89$	$0,89 > x > 0,72$	$x < 0,72$
Learnability	$x > 0,90$	$0,90 > x > 0,60$	$x < 0,60$
Understandability	$x > 0,82$	$0,82 > x > 0,57$	$x < 0,57$
Efficiency	$x > 0,90$	$0,90 > x > 0,39$	$x < 0,39$
Resource Behavior	$x > 0,87$	$0,87 > x > 0,53$	$x < 0,53$
Time Behavior	$x > 0,86$	$0,86 > x > 0,44$	$x < 0,44$

Table 2. Scale calibration of quality characteristics.

The scale calibration, which is represented in the table, comprises three categories A, B, C. Figure 5 presents a measurement for category B of Reliability (*Norm. test Shapiro Wilk s.l.l = 0.454 >> 0.05, m = 0.73, Std. = 0,06*).




Figure 5. Histogram for category B of Reliability.




Figure 6. Histogram for category A of Usability.

The statistical analysis for the overall quality, the quality characteristics and sub-characteristics were offered in the quality's research group web site [SQRG-HOU, 2004].

5 THE PROPOSED METHOD

The proposed method's tool can be used for the assessment of e-commerce systems in order to identify problematic or high quality applications or modules. In detail, the tool provides probability values for the overall quality, the quality characteristics and sub-characteristics of an e-commerce system. The meaning of each value can be explained using the scale calibration table of the method. In other words, in the forward use of the method's model a user is able to give evidence to the leaf nodes in order to estimate the probability values of each quality characteristic. Afterwards, by means of Table 2, one can identify the cluster to which each quality characteristic belongs. So, it is possible to




Figure 4. Histogram for the Efficiency.

In Figure 6 category A of Usability (*Norm. test Shapiro Wilk s.l = 0.443 >> 0.05, m = 0.93, Std. = 0.3*) is also presented.




Figure 7: A screen shot of the method's tool

detect where an e-commerce system's drawbacks may exist in order to improve its quality. Additionally, it is possible to define what should be improved in an e-commerce system or where more emphasis should be given in the system.

The proposed method provides a non-subjective way of characterizing an e-commerce system according to the quality characteristics with which this method is concerned. The non subjective character of the model means that a simple user of this measurement tool is asked to define evidence in a binary way by providing a simple 'Yes' or 'No'. Only the developer of the model defines the values of the node probability table based on his/her experience and his/her specialized knowledge.

In detail, the use of the proposed method can be described as follows. For the leaf nodes the user gives as an evidence a simple yes or no, whether a specific e-commerce characteristic exists or not in the system. For example, in the case of leaves "FAQs" and "Shopping list", the user can easily define if they are available in the system or not. Knowing a priori the boundaries of each cluster of the quality, the mean value and the standard deviation, it is easy to define the overall quality of the system.

Moreover, this process can be applied for each quality characteristic or sub-characteristic. In this way, one can identify which parts of the system need improvement. As a result, one is able to determine the specific corrective actions needed to be set in order to improve the quality of an e-commerce system.

This method can also be applied when developing a new e-commerce system. In this case, as the developers have already designed the characteristics and functions that the system will consist of, and know the preferences of the end-users of the system, they can focus on specific quality characteristics and improve them. Using the method's tool they must give more emphasis on the e-commerce characteristics that relay the quality characteristics they want. So, it could be possible to develop an e-commerce system that will be acceptable to end-users' quality requirements.

A screen shot of the method's tool is showed in Figure 7. This figure represents the probability values of an e-commerce system that has been used in the experiments of this research. Evidence has been inserted in the leaf nodes of the tool, so as to

measure the probability values of all the intermediate nodes.

6 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

This paper presents a method to assess the quality of e-commerce systems, which can also help developers of such systems during the design phase. It determines the boundaries and the scales of the probability values of the method's tool for all the quality characteristics. This determination, which is the main aim of the paper, was concluded from experimental measurements to a number of e-commerce systems and is presented in brief here.

The method's tool and all the experimental results derived from this research are available to whoever wishes to conduct similar measurements. Future work includes the collection of data from corresponding experiments performed by other researchers by means of this method. It also includes the application of the method during the design phase of an e-commerce system, and the analysis of the results, which will be derived from it.

REFERENCES

- ISO, 1991. *Information technology - Evaluation of software - Quality characteristics and guides for their use*. International Standard: ISO/IEC 9126.
- Lohse, G, Spiller, P, 1998. Electronic Shopping Designing online stores with effective customer interfaces, *Communications of the ACM*.
- Molla, A, Licker, P, 2001. E-Commerce Systems Success: An Attempt to extend and respecify the Delone and Maclean model of IS success, *Journal of Electronic Commerce Research*
- Nielsen, J, 2000. *Designing Web Usability, The Practice of Simplicity*, New Riders Publishing, Indianapolis.
- Offutt, M, 2002. Quality Attributes of Web Software Applications, *IEEE Software*, March/April 2002
- Schafer, B, Konstan, J, Riedl, J, 2001. E-Commerce Recommendations Applications, *Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*.
- Sherman, A, Karat, J, Karat, C, Brodie, C, and Vergo, J, 2003. User Attitudes Regarding a User-Adaptive e-Commerce Web Site, *User Modelling and User-Adapted Interaction*.
- SQRG-HOU, 2004. Web site of the Software Quality Research Group of the Hellenic Open University, <http://artemis.eap.gr/quality>
- Stefani, A, Xenos, M, 2001. A model for accessing the quality of e-commerce systems. *Panhellenic Conference with International Participation in Human Computer Interaction (PC-HCI-2001)*.
- Stefani, A, Xenos, M, Stavrinoudis, D, 2003. Modelling E-Commerce Systems' Quality with Belief Networks. *In VECIMS 2003-International Symposium on Virtual Environments, Human-Computer Interfaces, and Measurement Systems*. IEEE
- Wilson, L, 2003. Designing an electronic commerce interface: attention and product memory as elicited by web design. *Electronic Commerce Research and Application*.